A SUGGESTIVE HISTORY OF THE FIRST CENTURY OF PHOTOGRAPHIC CONSUMPTION IN KATHMANDU

Pratyoush Onta

Introduction

The photographs capturing scenic landscapes of Nepal - 'medieval' towns, terraced hill-sides, verdant fields, closely clustered village homes, mighty peaks, arid landscapes of the rain-shadow region in the western part of the country – and of people who live in these sites, have been an intricate part of the Shangri-la story associated with Nepal until very recently. The mountain kingdom, to borrow the title of a finely produced coffee-table book of portraits from Nepal by B. M. Niven (1987), as it were, could not exist without these breathtaking photographs. In the world of travel glossies, two of the most important activities that characterize our times — travel and photography — come together. In her well-known book, On Photography, critic Susan Sontag suggests that photography has developed in direct conjunction with tourism where it documents "sequences of consumption carried on outside the view of family, friends, neighbors" (1977:9). This association of photography with the packaging of Nepal as a mountain kingdom in tourism-related literature is relatively well-known. What is less known, however, is that the Nepali subjects of these glossies themselves have been consuming photography for over a century in ways that are historically significant.

You can see photographs just about everywhere in Nepal today. Urban middle-class Nepalis usually have several framed photographs adorning the walls of their rooms and hundreds more in orderly kept albums or disorganized piles. While they might complain that photographs are taking too much of their cramped urban space, they continue to take more of them. There has been a huge growth in the number of color photograph processing laboratories in urban centers like Kathmandu, Pokhara and Biratnagar. Journalist Shanker Kharel (1994) reported that such labs in Biratnagar were doing so well that many small studio-owners have already been forced out of business. However, itinerant photographers or those with studios in different non-urban locations within the same district (i.e., Morang) are reportedly doing better business. What Kharel has reported for Biratnagar and its surroundings probably holds true in many other urban

tarai locations even as it has been recently reported that business has gone done for such labs located in the Kathmandu Valley (Poudel 1998).

Photographic consumption is not limited to the urban centres of Nepal. Throughout the Nepali countryside, one can routinely see photographs — usually of the members of the family — hung on room walls. Hence you need not be surprised if you see the photograph of a couple who are hosting you in the village of Madan Pokhara in Palpa district, hanging on the wall of their room. Capturing an earlier moment in their shared lives the photograph was probably taken in the nearby motor-accessible town of Tansen. Just as routine would be your encounter of a photo-studio in Setibeni, a small bazaar by the Kali Gandaki. The studio-owner who also takes color portraits would be happy to tell you that although he does not have facilities to develop them in his premises, he sends the photo-reel with a foot-carrier to Galyang bazaar in Syangja district, a four-hour walk, and then to the labs of Pokhara in a five-hour bus ride. His customers pick up their photographs in four days' time back in Setibeni.

Madan Pokhara and Setibeni are hardly remote locations in the present hill geography of Nepal. Friends who have seen more of Nepal than me say that photographers and photography have become routine in more remote parts of the country. According to a friend, there were at least three practicising photographers in Yashok Bazaar in Panchthar district, in 1994. While black and white photos could be developed at the site, color ones were taken by foot-carriers to Phidim (an eight-hour walk) and handed over to agents of any of the three or four color labs located in Birtamod. A journalist friend reported seeing a photo studio in Khalanga Bazaar in Jumla. I have similarly been told that there are photographers who practice in Barpak, a Gurung village in the northern part of Gorkha district. Everytime there is a hāṭ bazār in the hills of Nepal, one can expect a photographer or two to be there. Their customers usually sit on a bench placed in front of a sheet used as a background for a portrait. When the $h\bar{a}t$ reconvenes in a week or two, the old customers pick up their photographs and new ones line up in front of the camera.

Apart from the growth in personal consumption of photographs, their increasing use in the Nepali media is also remarkable. In the print media boom seen under a relatively more free press of the past eight years, photojournalism has played no small role (The Kathmandu Post 1997; Onta 1997b). Photographers have not only managed to earn full staff membership in daily newspapers, but also in weeklies and in magazines and periodicals that have inundated the Nepali-reading market. In addition

to mundane photographs of the political newsmakers, the printing of poignant photographs depicting various moments in the life of our country and people is now routine. Many photographs that have recently appeared in the newspapers and newsmagazines constitute a new genre of visual-based social criticism. Offset printing technology which does away with many of the difficulties associated with the earlier photo-printing methods has greatly facilitated this growth. Photographers themeselves have been the subject of discussion in the print media (e.g., Janamanch 2054 v.s.) and many photography training institutes have been in operation for some time now. In addition, a small number of Nepali freelance photographers specializing in fields such as art, fashion, wildlife, and other allied subjects are also beginning to establish themselves in the market (e.g., Lawoti 1998). Photo exhibitions have been held regularly and such collective displays of photographs have not only highlighted different themes, but they have also become a source of education and social activism.

What explains such level of photographic consumption in today's Nepal? While this question deserves more serious attention than is possible here, I see two reasons that seem to bolster such consumption levels. First is the flexible association of photography with a notion of social identification based on the consumption of decidedly 'modern' commodities. Photographs happen to be one among a wide array of possible 'modern' things that one can consume to claim and exhibit one's class position, among other identities. In contrast to other goods, photographs embody an element of self-objectification that adds to its consumption-attractiveness. They document the modalities of one's participation in internal or external travel, family or public celebration, marriage negotiation and allied occasions which have all become constituting elements of this new 'modernity'. In this essay, I discuss the early history of this consumption for the case of Kathmandu and its priviledged residents.

Closely tied to the first point is the wide-spread requirement by the Nepali state of photographic evidence as part of its effort to control membership in legitimate Nepali nationhood. The rule that one's photograph be part of one's citizenship paper best embodies this requirement even as photographs have become a part of many other routine dealings that we do with the state apparatus. Here one need just think of passports and job applications. In addition the state also uses photography for explicit surveillance over its citizens (e.g., mug shots). Photographs are also required as part of one's identification in other

domains of our life (e.g., student identity card, club membership, etc.). This social use of photography began to gain importance after 1960, and is not covered in this article as it lies beyond its scope.

Over the past two decades, a few hundred photographs taken in Nepal prior to 1960 have been published. These have been included in several books and collections. One can, for instance, think of Nepal Rediscovered: The Rana Court 1846 - 1951 edited by Padma Prakash Shrestha (1986) containing 90 photos from the Rana era selected from the archives of the UK-based Nepal Kingdom Foundation. Similarly, in the 2-volume narrative Shree Teen Haruko Tathya Britanta, Purushottam SJB Rana (1990) has published about 300 photos of the Ranas. In Nepal Under the Ranas written by Adrian Sever (1993), we can find more than 270 photos selected from the private collection of Jharendra SJB Rana. In Portraits and Photographs from Nepal, Prakash A. Raj (1994) has published over 50 photos taken before 1960. We can also think of more recent publications such as Images of a Century: The Changing Townscapes of the Kathmandu Valley edited by Andreas Proksch (1995) and Changing Faces of Nepal, containing the photos taken by the father and son duo of Dirga Man Chitrakar and Ganesh Man Chitrakar (Heide 1997). Similarly travelogues and other books written by non-Nepalis who visited Nepal during the 19th and early part of the 20th centuries usually contain a few photographs. In addition, many of the more recent monographs on modern Nepali history contain some photographs from the pre-1960 period. Unknown number of unpublished photographs from this period are also to be found in many personal collections.

Substantive analytical histories of pre-1960 photography in Nepal have not yet been written. The first four books mentioned above treat the photographs they print as evidence that is simply 'there', sometimes to augment their respective narratives, but say very little in the form of a social history of the first century of photography in Nepal. *Images of a Century* was described by one reviewer as "a visual feast" to everybody interested in Kathmandu, "an extremely useful record of the historical layers of the city" (Shah 1996), but it is also not a work that examines the photos it exhibits. In contrast *Changing Faces of Nepal* comes with a substantial essay on the work of the early Nepali photographers and their patrons, written by Susanne von der Heide. Heide's essay, J. P. Losty's article (1992), and this writer's six-part article published in *The Kathmandu Post* (Onta 1994) contribute toward a social history of the first century of photography in Nepal. Yet many questions go unanswered or are still waiting for more detailed answers. These include: Who had

social access to photography as a consumption item and how did that access change over the century long period under consideration here? Toward what ends was photography put to use in the second half of the 19th and the first half of the 20th centuries in Nepal? What kinds of cultural capital did photographs embody during those two half-century periods? And of what use are these photographs to social historians today?

At this preliminary stage of research, it is not possible to answer all the above questions adequately for all of Nepal. Nor will it be possible to provide an analytically descriptive account of the entire corpus, published and unpublished, of photographs from the pre-1960 period. For such a project to be realized, not only will we have to look at all the available photographs, but also at the related voluminous non-photographic sources that will throw light on the contexts surrounding their creation. For obvious reasons, this kind of project is well beyond the intellectual and financial means of an individual researcher. Therefore, the objective of this essay, which is a revised version of my 1994 article, is to highlight and analyse some of the more interesting aspects of the history of photography in Kathmandu until about 1960. In particular, this is a history of photographic consumption by the privileged residents of Kathmandu. My aim is not to provide an exhaustive history but rather a suggestive one. What I offer is a very incomplete reading of a small portion of the photo archive of this period and I expect my interpretations to be superseded by more nuanced readings that others will hopefully provide following a more systematic research on this topic.

I begin with a short section that discusses the first photographers in Kathmandu. The following sections highlight both the varieties of and meanings in photographic consumption by the priviledged residents of Kathmandu for about a century between the early 1860s and the end of the 1950s. The main argument that I make in this paper can be summarized in the following manner: photographic consumption between the early 1860s and 1910 was an exclusive prerogative of the ruling Ranas. After the establishment of local studios in Kathmandu around that time, the exclusivity of this consumption practice was broken and Kathmandu's proto-middle class began to seek photographic portraits of itself. Once cameras became portable and affordable to members of this middle class in the 1920s, photography gradually became a part of the self-representing practices of this class. This practice began to assume normalizing proportions after the end of the Rana regime in the early 1950s.

The First Photographers in Kathmandu

In his preface to *Nepal Rediscovered: The Rana Court 1846 - 1951*, Padma Prakash Shrestha writes that it "was probably Jang Bahadur Rana's European tour in 1850 that brought the new automatic picture-taking machine - the camera - to his notice" (1986:vii). Available evidence does not allow us to say for sure whether or not Jang Bahadur saw a camera while in Europe (May - August 1850). While we have evidence that portraits of Jang Bahadur were made while he visited Europe in mid-1850 (P. SJB Rana 1998), there are good reasons to believe that he was not photographed there.

After Louis Jacques Mandé Daguerre's discovery of what was later called the daguerreotype photographic process was officially disclosed in August 1839, interest in photography among Europeans began to rise (Newhall 1988). However, due to the primitiveness of the technology, initial exposure times – upwards of twenty minutes – were only suitable for architectural photographs. But by the end of 1840, improvements in camera lens, the light sensitivity of the plates and the tone of the deguerrotypes made portrait photography attractive and many studios came into existence in the Euro-American world. The discovery of a calotype negative by the Englishman William Henry Fox Talbot in 1841 made it possible to make multiple prints. Although collodion sensitized glassplate negatives that would eventually replace both of these technology was introduced only in 1851, studios using either the daguerreotype plates or the calotype negatives were plentiful in Europe by 1850.

Therefore the silence maintained by the accounts of Jang Bahadur's visit to Europe with respect to any encounter Jang might have had with a camera is noteworthy. Photos of him taken there have not been seen. Given the way in which his activities in Europe were covered by the press (see Whelpton 1983), it is highly inconceivable that a photo session, had it taken place, would not have been reported. It would have been an activity with a novelty level, both for the host and the guest, that would have been worth reporting. Given that no mention is made of such an encounter, we can conclude for the moment that Jang Bahadur was not photographed during this trip. Even then it is entirely likely that the presence of the technology was made known to him verbally. Even if that were the case, there is no record that suggests that Jang Bahadur brought back cameras with him to Nepal. Had he done so, it would have surely precipitated an earlier encounter with the medium inside Nepal.

Some photo collectors in Nepal have verbally claimed that they have photos in their possession that were taken inside Nepal in the 1850s.

However no one has been albe to prove this in writing with evidence. Shrestha speculates that "increasing knowledge of photography might have been obtained from British visitors to Nepal in the early 1850s" (1986:vii). I am unable to provide a complete inventory of foreign visitors to Nepal in the 1850s and indicate who among them were photography-buffs, but it is interesting to note that at least two photographs in Adrian Sever's *Nepal Under the Ranas* (1993:81, 88) are dated as being from the 1850s. If the years given for these photographs are correct, then they antedate the first incontrovertibly dated photographs taken in Nepal by a decade or so. But there are reasons to suspect that Sever has made a mistake here.

In response to a request from Calcutta for photographs of the "principal hill tribes" of Nepal, George Ramsay, the then British Resident in Nepal, wrote on 3 July 1861: "There are no amateurs in the art of Photography here, and the inducements to professionals to visit Khatmandoo (sic) are so very small, that none have ever come up here" (quoted in Losty 1992:318). Except for an occasional absence, Ramsay had been in Kathmandu since 1852. Given that the few foreigners who came into Kathmandu during the 1850s would have been either the guests of the Rana premier or the resident, Ramsay was in a good position to know and remember if any of them had been photography-enthusiasts. In addition, no other archival or secondary source has succeeded in raising a credible doubt about Ramsay's statement regarding the absence of photographic activity in Nepal in the 1850s. From his letter we know that no Nepali practiced photography then and no foreign photographer had come to Kathmandu during the 1850s. Jharendra SJB Rana (personal communication), the owner of the photo collection from which Sever has selected the photographs for his book, was unable to say how they had been dated as such. Unless Sever has a convincing explanation (not included in his book), he has made a mistake while dating these specific photographs. Hence, in the current state of our knowledge, we can conclude that Kathmandu did not see any photographic activity in the 1850s.1

Pudma JB Rana (1909:243) claims that on the morning of 30 October 1860, Jang Bahadur and his sons were photographed in a group. This date is highly suspect for reasons discussed by Losty (1992:335n34). It is almost certain that he was referring to photography session done by C. C. Taylor in 1863.

Based on indubitable evidence thus far available, the first photograph inside Nepal was taken in 1863, and the photographer was a British man named Clarence Comyn Taylor (1830-79). Those interested in the early history of photography in Nepal are indebted to J. P. Losty (1992) for introducing us to Taylor's photographic work. In a article published in the journal *History of Photography*, Losty identified Taylor as the first person to take photographs in Nepal during 1863-65. Based not only on the photographs taken by Taylor, some of which comprise an album acquired by the Oriental and India Office Collections of the British Library in London, but also on the study of relevant archival documents, Losty has presented a preliminary survey of Taylor's work. In addition to providing a profile of his career, Losty reproduces and discusses some of Taylor's photographs.²

Taylor was born at Vellore in 1830 and joined the Bengal Army in late 1850. He was severely wounded during the 1857 uprisings. He seems to have spent the next three years in Europe recuperating before returning to India in late 1860. In early 1862 he joined the Political Service and was made the Officiating (i.e. temporary) Assistant to the Agent of the British Governor-General in Rajputana, Sir George St Patrick Lawrence. We do not know when Taylor got interested in photography. But Losty's research has proved that sometime in 1862, Taylor passed through the city of Udaipur, the capital of the Maharanas of Mewar, and took at least fourteen photographs of temples and other monuments. According to Losty, these photographs, which were the first taken in that city, "lack the brilliancy of detail" that one can see in Taylor's later architectural studies in Nepal (1992:321). The reason for improvement in his skills could partially be attributed to his meeting with two highly competent photographers, John Murray and Eugene Impey, before the end of 1862. In December 1862 Taylor was promoted to Captain and in early March 1863, appointed Assistant to the Resident in Nepal, George Ramsay.

Almost two years before Taylor's arrival in Kathmandu on 19 March 1863, George Ramsay, the British Resident in Nepal (who held this position from 1852-67), had received a request from Calcutta asking him to make photographs of the different tribes of Nepal available as part of a project that sought to put together such photographs for the whole of the Subcontinent. Lord Canning, Governor-General of India between 1856-63

I have rechecked the archival documents, including Taylor's photographs, consulted by Losty at the British Library and have found his article to be reliable.

and his wife had taken personal initiative to record through photography the people and the architectural monuments of India. The eight-volume book, The People of India, published between 1868-75 by the India Museum in London, is the outcome of this project. As quoted above, Ramsay had sent a reply to this request by stating that no one practiced photography in Kathmandu and no outside photographer had visited Kathmandu. On that occasion he had also added that unless a professional photographer was sent to Kathmandu from India, the request for photographs from Nepal could not be complied with. Even then, he said, such a person "would meet with no encouragement from the Sirdars [Nobles], who would prefer the rudest highly colored daub by one of the Native artists of the Valley to the best and most perfect specimen of Photography that could be produced" (quoted in Losty 1992:318). We must note that the alacrity with which Jang Bahadur responded to photography proved how wrong Ramsay had been in his latter assessment. On 10 September 1863, Ramsay wrote to Calcutta that Captain Taylor was ready to take the requested photographs and saught sanction for the expenses that would be incurred in the process. A year later, on 24 September 1864, Ramsay reported that four identical sets each consisting of twenty photographs of the tribes of Nepal prepared by Captain Taylor had been mailed to Calcutta.

These 20 photographs by Taylor, taken some time between October 1863 and August 1864, can be found in the second volume (photograph nos. 58 - 77) of The People of India published in 1868 along with brief descriptions, culled mostly from the writings of Brian Hodgson, highlighting "the peculiarities of the tribe." The prints used for this volume were not the ones made by Taylor but were produced from fresh negatives made in London by a certain W. Griggs. The people photographed are identified as being members of the Sunuwar, Limbu, Magar, Gurung, Khas, Newars, Banras, and Moormis 'tribe'. These include nine half-length portraits of individuals (reproduced in the volume in oval shape), four formally arranged (in rows) and seven informal group portraits. As Losty has noted, these photographs are evidence of Taylor's matured skills as a portrait photographer. The four formal group portraits are said to capture Limbu, Magar, Gurung and Banras in their 'traditional' attire (and weapons in the case of the first three). Among the seven informal group portraits the ones showing a Sunuwar family outside their hut, three Newar men in a marketplace and two 'Gurkha' workmen relaxing are more remarkable.

These photographs, however, are not the first ones taken by Taylor inside Nepal and are not included in the Taylor album acquired by the British Library. This album instead consists of 18 photographs, 14 of which show different views of the three cities of the Kathmandu Valley. The remaining four are single or group portraits of ruling elites. At least a few of these 18 photographs had already been taken by September 1863. The evidence for this comes from Ramsay's 10 September 1863 letter mentioned above where he states that several of the Ranas including Jang Bahadur had asked for copies of Taylor's photographs. Thus we can be sure that within six months of his arrival in Kathmandu, Taylor had already taken some photographs of the Kathmandu scenery and done some portraits of Jang Bahadur and his court, and had made Jang and others interested in his work. Losty thinks that given "the general superiority of the group both in composition and technically to his Udaipur work" some of the other photographs in this album were taken in 1864 or 1865 (1992:325).

The 14 scenery photographs include three views of the Kathmandu Durbar square area, three of pashupati temple area, two of Patan Durbar square, four of Bhaktapur, one of Swayambhu, and one of Kathmandu from Swayambhu. The four portrait photographs include a single portrait of Jang Bahadur wearing a full formal dress, Jang with his sons Jagat Jang and Jit Jang sitting on a chaise longue, Jang with his wife Hiranyagarbha Kumari, daughters and attendant 'slave girls' (so the caption reads), and King Surendra with Resident Ramsay and other Nepali high ranking officials. Taylor left Kathmandu in mid-November 1865 for a year's leave but never returned to the valley. Unless new evidence suggests otherwise, we should conclude that the photographs taken by C. C. Taylor in 1863 constitute the first dated photographs taken inside Nepal.

After the photographs taken in 1863-65 by C. C. Taylor, the next dated photographs come from Jang Bahadur's visit in November-December 1871 to the great fair at Hajipur (on the Ganges opposite Patna) where he met Lord Mayo, the viceroy of India. One photograph from this occasion, showing some members of the entourage of both Jang and Lord Mayo, has been published in *Life of Jang Bahadur* written by his son Pudma Jang Bahadur Rana (1909). The 1871 photos, according to Losty (1992:335n33), were taken by Messers Bourne and Shepherd, who were also the official photographers when the Prince of Wales came to the Nepal tarai in early 1876 for a sixteen-day hunting trip. These photographers, it seems, had visited Kathmandu in 1875. Several other

non-Nepali photographers, some of whom are mentioned in the next section, made it to Kathmandu before the end of the century.

The compiler of *Changing Faces of Nepal*, which was prepared as a catalogue for an exhibition at UNESCO in Paris (December 1997) of selective photos taken by the father and son duo of Dirga Man Chitrakar (1877-1951) and Ganesh Man Chitrakar (1906-1985) of Kathmandu, Susanne von der Heide (1997), provides substantial information on pioneering Nepali photographers and wealthy Rana individuals who patronized them (see also Sharma 2054 v.s.). In an essay spiced with relevant photos entitled "Pioneers of Early Photography in Nepal: Photographers, Artists and Patrons," she identifies Dambar Shamsher (1858-1922), younger brother of Rana PM Bir Shamsher (r. 1885-1901), as the first Nepali photographer. He had set up a photo studio in his durbar with money provided by his father Dhir Shamsher. It seems that he had learnt the art in the mid-1870s from Bourne and Shepherd. Later Dambar Shamsher's son Samar Shamsher (1883-1958) became a first-rate photographer.

Heide names Purna Man Chitrakar (c. 1863-1939) as an important early photographer who was patronized by Dambar Shamsher and Gehendra Shamsher, son of Bir Shamsher. Purna Man is said to have learnt photography from the former around 1880 and was sent to Calcutta in the early 1880s for further training. Even as he continued to paint, Purna Man also received instructions from a Bengali photographer Neel Madhaba Deen who was invited to Kathmandu in 1888. Dirga Man Chitrakar came under the tutelage of Purna Man in the early 1890s when he was in his early teens. Later he was patronized by Chandra Shamsher (r. 1901-1929) who gave him a job in the art department in Singha Durbar and took him in his entourage to Europe in 1908. Whether Dirga Man took any pictures while he was there has not been ascertained but it is known for sure that many cameras were brought back to Nepal at the end of that trip. It is with them that Dirga Man began to photograph. He set up an enlargement studio in his house in Bhimsensthan around then as well and later taught photography to his son Ganesh Man.

According to Heide (1997), Purna Man taught photography to many Chitrakars: his brother Badra Man, Badra Man's brothers-in-law Ratna Bahadur and Hira Bahadur; Krishna Bahadur, Tej Bahadur and possibly Harka Lal Chitrakar and his son Prithvi Lal. Other pioneering Chitrakar photographers mentioned by Heide include Chaite Chitrkar and his son Purna; Prithvi Man Chitrakar, the brothers Laxmi Bahadur and Tulsi Bahadur (grandsons of the famous artist Bhaju Man who Jang Bahadur had

taken to Europe in 1850) and the latter's sons Buddhi Bahadur and Krishna Bahadur. Other early photographers included Chakra Bahadur Kayestha and his three sons: Tej, Darsan and Sahilu; Madan and Sri Man Kayestha; Ghyan Bahadur Karmacharya and his brother Shanta Bahadur, latter's son Samar; Narayan Prasad Joshi, Pashupati Lal Shrestha, Bharat Shrestha and Tirath Raj Manandhar, Govind Vaidya, Bishnu Dhoj Joshi and his son Hiranya Dhoj.

According to Heide (1997) Chitrakars who had access to Rana courts had to redefine their traditional role as painters and artists. When photography entered the scene in late 19th century, some took it up even as they continued to paint. The new technology also gave birth to the hybrid product of 'retouched' photos (photos that had been reworked with the painter's brush) which were quite popular with the Rana elites. Photography began to coexist with water color painting and the art of engraving and powerful Ranas competed with each other to patronize the more skillful painters and/or photographers.

Varieties and Politics of Photographic Consumption, 1880-1910

In the 1880s several foreign photographers made it to Kathmandu: A certain Henry Ballantine was visiting Kathmandu when the Rana premier Ranaudip Singh was killed by the Shamsher brothers on November 22, 1885. In his *On India's Frontier or Nepal, the Gurkhas' Mysterious Land*, Ballantine (1896) describes how he filled up his leisure hours taking photographs after having borrowed a few negative dry plates from a certain Mr Hoffman of the firm of Messrs. Johnson and Hoffman of Calcutta. According to Ballantine, Hoffman had come to Kathmandu with a European artist assistant "to photograph the carvings and other curiosities that were being collected under the supervision of the Residency surgeon for the Indian and Colonial Exhibition to be held in London as well as to take what pictures he could of the Nepalese officers and their court" (1896:109). Hoffman reportedly was well patronized by the Ranas. On Ballantine's own admission, we know that the photographs of the Ranas included in his book were taken by Hoffman.³

^{3.} It is also likely that some of the photographs in Shrestha (1986) and Sever (1993) identified as being from this period were also taken by Hoffman. In *Nepal under Jang Bahadur 1846-1877* by Krishna K. Adhikari, we find a photograph of Siddhi Man Singh Rajbhandari, an important non-Rana official in Jang Bahadur's administration, that was

While photographing in Swayambhu, Ballantine was disturbed by the monkeys. He writes "[G]reat was the astonishment manifested by the monkeys when we went at them for trying to upset our camera, and especially when one old, red blackguard... thought of appropriating our camera cloth!" (1896:112). He adds, "We here saw and photographed the finest bit of elaborate wood carving forming the side of one of the temple buildings (unfortunately damaged by age) that is to be found in Nepal, and that is saying a good deal" (1896:112). Helped by a coolie who transported his camera around the city, Ballantine also reports photographing Pashupatinath from a distance, Boudhanath, Balaju, and says he took some pictures from the top of the Dharahara. He writes, "Our rambles in the city itself secured us some characteristic pictures, and much insight into Nepal daily life" (1896:122). He describes the image of Kal Bhairub in central Kathmandu as the "most hideous object" he saw or photographed – "an unmistakable god of death that might well stand to personify cholera" (1896:128) before adding that while taking the photograph of Bhairub, he and his team was "surrounded by an inquisitive crowd that almost crushed us and our camera in their eager curiosity" (1896:129). Of the 34 photographs given in Ballantine's book, eleven are portraits of the ruling elites, sixteen show various scenery from the Valley, and the rest seven are shots of "common" folks. The portraits of the Rana elites seem commonplace to our eyes as plenty of similar photographs have been seen before. The scenery photographs taken by Ballantine contain nothing spectacular and those of the common folks are only slightly more interesting.

Photography during this period was also used to document scientific research in Kathmandu. The evidence for this comes from Cecil Bendall's A Journey of Literary and Archaeological Research in Nepal and Northern India during the winter of 1884-5 (1886). Bendall mentions photographing several inscriptions and reproduces photographs of them and of several temples from the valley. While he does not comment much on his photographic activities in Nepal, Bendall complains about the unsatisfactory condition of photography in India and describes the prices charged by European firms there as "most exorbitant" (1886:xifn2). Other photographers who visited Kathmandu included Neel Madhaba Deen in 1888 and Bert Harris in 1896-97.

obviously taken by Hoffman (1984:page facing p. 210). The photo plate is identified as being from "Johnson and Hoffman."

Photography, as can be evidenced from the published corpus, was also used to record śikār (big-game hunting). Although Jang Bahadur and his successors seem to have gone hunting in the tarai on an annual basis, it was only when British guests were invited for big-game hunting that the latters' official photographers could document this activity of the Ranas as well. This state of affairs seems to have continued until the mid-1910s when Nepali photographers became capable of recording activities related to hunting. But until then, big hunting expeditions such as those made by the Prince of Wales in 1876 and Lord Curzon in 1901 provided their Rana hosts an opportunity to engage in their own type of "action" photography. The 1876 hunt was officially photographed by Messers. Bourne and Shepherd and more photographs than the few that have been published of that occasion probably exist in some British archive. In April 1901, during the short tenure of Dev Shamsher as premier, Lord Curzon, the Viceroy of India, visited the Nepal tarai on a hunting trip. With respect to this hunt, an entire album of photographs taken by the Calcutta firm of Herzog & Higgins, entitled H. E. the Viceroy's Shooting Tour Nepal, Terai April 1901, can be found in the Kaiser Library in Kathmandu. The remarkable photographs from this album include those showing elephants lined-up in preparation for the hunt, tiger skins testifying to its success and big birds scavenging through the carrion.⁴

Apart from the above mentioned uses, photographic consumption took place in more domestic settings as well. While there seems to have been plenty of photographic activity in Dambar Shamsher's durbar before 1910, access to the medium as an item of consumption was not very easily available to other Ranas. For them, photographic activity, especially before the turn of the century, mainly took place only when photographers from India came to Nepal either on their own (with permission from the Ranas of course) or were officially invited for that purpose. Based on the published corpus of photographs from this period, we can say that only the elite Ranas, their immediate families, high ranking officials and their attendants became subjects of photographic portraits during the times when guest photographers were in Kathmandu. The photographs published thus far are ample testimony to this fact.

Except for those photographs taken during hunting expeditions in the tarai, most photographs of the Ranas are portraits done indoors. The Rana men appear almost exclusively in military-like uniforms and the women

^{4.} See Onta (1994) for further details on photography related to big-game hunting and war.

are seen in the long saris that were then prevalent or hoop-skirtish clothes. Most have a serious look to their face and seem to stare directly at the camera or slightly away from it. The 'ch-e-e-se' sensibility that marks today's portraitures is completely missing from almost all of the photographs of the Ranas from this period. When royal attendants are included in group portraits they too look serious. The most exceptional photograph on this count is a portrait of eight royal servants published as plate 10 in Shrestha (1986). Dated as belonging to about 1890, we can see a smile in almost all of the faces, as if being photographed was a pleasurable break from their routine burden.

Critic Susan Sontag notes that photography became a rite of family life just when the larger family aggregates started undergoing radical surgery towards the nuclear family in the industrializing countries of Europe and America. As this was happening, "photography came along to memorialize, to restate symbolically, the imperiled continuity and vanishing extendedness of family life" (1977:9). In contrast, it would seem that for the Ranas, the family portraits were testimony to the continuance of their large family aggregates as well as forceful statements of the vitality of the extendedness of their family life. Moreover, the large numbers of children and wives seen in Rana family portraits seem to suggest that photography provided an unprecedented representational medium to assert the virility of Rana male family-heads. Sontag further writes that memorializing the achievements of individual family members is the earliest popular use of photography (1977:8). The photographs published by Shrestha (1986) and Sever (1993) of Bir Shamsher's inauguration of premiership in 1885 seem to suggest that a genre of inauguration-photography recording the prime minister's success was begun, one that all the subsequent Rana premiers adhered to. Hunting and marriages were to provide further occasions to document individual achievements. Photographing one's huge durbars, such as the Seto Durbar of Bir Shamsher and the Singha Durbar of Chandra Shamsher, must also be seen as a way to record and represent one's accomplishment on a monumental scale.

From what has been said above, it should be clear that only a small group of Ranas and their allied high ranking officials had control over access to photography as a technology up to the end of the first decade of this century. Even as they might have been photographed by official photographers or travellers like Ballantine, photography remained beyond the reach of the common non-Rana folk in Kathmandu and elsewhere. They would simply allow themselves to be photographed or curiously

crowd around a photographer. And herein lies my main argument regarding its use in Nepal during this period. For the Ranas photographs embodied a special form of cultural capital that only they had intermittant access to in Nepal and therefore their consumption of this medua was part of a distinct ruling class sub-culture that they were busily producing. Other consumption items of this sub-culture included foreign objects, dress, insignia and European styled durbars. The modality of this Rana practice necessarily involved, what the American anthropologist Mark Liechty (1997) has called a strategy of "selective exclusion" (also see Liechty, this volume). While consuming foreignness, the Ranas wanted to control the power that was associated with it and avoid its dangers. As Liechty has put it in his paper devoted to Nepal's contact with foreigners and foreign goods prior to 1951, the power of Ranas' trafficking of the image of foreignness depended on their ability to strictly control how it was defined, and who had access to it. The Ranas had to monopolize both the representation of foreignness inside Nepal, and the social access to it. Photography fit rather nicely in this scheme. While the control over photographic technology in the form of photographers imported from India or limited access to Rana photo studios was an obvious case of the monopolization of access, the medium could also be effectively used to represent their consumption of other items of foreignness. Apart from the photographs that were sent to their British friends, these photographs of the Ranas adorned their homes where they were mainly seen by other members of their fraternity. While reasserting internal Rana differentiation, these photographs acted to reinforce a collectively shared

The exclusive use of photography in the recreation of this sub-culture seems to have been so complete that the Ranas did not show any interest in producing a volume similar to the eight-volume *The People of India* wherein tribes and castes were ethnographically described along with their photos. One reason this volume was commissioned for India was undoubtedly the insecurity felt by its British rulers after the uprisings of 1857-58. Hence they executed a deeper study of Indian society using all means that were then available to them. But there were no similar exigencies pressing the Rana rulers of Nepal. Having increasingly consolidated the agrarian bureaucracy — the state apparatus that ensured that the revenue extracted from the peasants in different parts of the country reached them in Kathmandu — during these years, the Ranas did not feel internally challenged to expend any of their energy on gathering this kind of knowledge about their subject population. Photographing

common folks was then left to the whims of itenerant photographers who happened to be in Nepal for other reasons.

Loss of Rana Monopoly and the Rise of the Middle-Class, 1910-1940

For the period after 1910, many portraits of Chandra Shamsher and his family have already been published. As mentioned earlier Dirga Man Chitrakar began to take photographs with cameras brought back to Nepal at the end of Chandra Shamsher's 1908 trip to England. Once photography by local photographers became more easily available, the logic of internal differentiation within the Rana sub-culture propelled its more influential members to consume photography at a greatly increased volume-level. It was now not enough to be photographed once in a while by a visiting photographer who came from India. I would suppose that life-cycle rituals and other ceremonials of these Rana families were photographed extensively, although very few of them have been published thus far. These Ranas also included their family photographs in Vijaya Dasami (Dasain), Christmas, and New Year's Greeting cards they sent to their relatives and foreign friends. For instance, in a card sent by Mohan Shamsher, we see a photo of the large family of his father Chandra Shamsher in the left and an excerpt from the Candi text read during Dasain on the right (see photo no. 1).



Photo no. 1: Dasain greetings card sent by Mohan Shamsher Rana.

Card in the collection of Kumar Rana.

How about non-Rana consumption? Some of the very first photographs taken in Nepal in 1863-64 by C.C. Taylor were of individually unidentified members of different tribes and castes. A remarkable photograph from about 1890 of a group of royal servants has been discussed above. Among the published corpus of photographs, we can find several photographs depicting crowds of people on various

Kathmandu streets. On other occasions, photographs of labourers carrying elite officials during the latters' trips to various parts of the country or cars into the Valley have also been published. These photographs are important documents of our history. But it can be nobody's argument that the common (namely non-Ranas) people seen in these photographs were sovereign consumers of the medium. That they have been inscribed in photographic record not by their own demand but because of the command of their masters or because they caught the fancy of travelling photographers is quite obvious. In this essay, I am unable to analyze these photographs. What I would like to do here instead is to look at some of the non-Rana photographs — taken undoubtedly at the demand of the subjects of these photographs — from the first six decades of this century.

Local photo studios had come into existence in Kathmandu by 1910. Several photos that can be dated to the decade that ended in 1920 suggest that within a few years of the establishment of the local studios, middleclass Kathmandu folks had acquired knowledge of the medium and used it upon their demand. These photographs were all taken in studios which is not surprising given the difficulty of moving the rather cumbersome camera equipment of that era. In the personal collection of an acquaintance, I have seen a photograph taken about 1915 of a common couple in their mid-twenties that undoubtedly exhibits, at least in its dress, Rana influence. The man, sitting on his wife's right, looks at the camera, exuding confidence and a prior familiarity with the technology. The woman, on the other hand, looks away from the camera and seems distinctly uncomfortable being positioned in that manner. This photograph and the three that I discuss below could constitute part of the evidence for an analysis of gender relationships of middle-class families in Kathmandu in the early part of this century.

The first photograph (from 1915) shows Kedarmani Acharya Dixit (whose contributions to the genre of Nepali travel-writing is significant) and his wife Bidyadevi Dixit (who was one of the early women writers) about two weeks after they had married. It is published in Kedarmani's autobiography, *Āphnai Kurā* (2034 v.s.). Since Kedarmani's grandfather, Kashinath Acharya Dixit, and father, Rammani Acharya Dixit, were in service of the Shamsher Ranas, it is likely that his family had access to photography earlier than most other middle-class families of Kathmandu. In fact a photograph from about 1911 when Kedarmani was only seven in included in his book. However his book and the separate memoirs written by Kashinath (2031 v.s.) and Rammani (2029 v.s.) do not say anything

explicit regarding the family's consumption of photography. What is of interest here is that at the time of their marriage, Kedar was eleven and Bidyadevi ten. Their age at marriage was not at all unusual even for urban middle-class educated Brahman families. Quite the contrary, available evidence would suggest that it was the norm. For women, it was customary to get married before the onset of puberty. In 1915 child marriage had not been recognized as morally repugnant by the powerful guardians of culture and was widely prevalent, irrespective of caste or class. In that cultural world, marriage did not necessarily mean the end of childhood and the onset of adult life, although I would imagine that it entailed a growth of responsibilities, especially for child-brides.



Photo no. 2: Kaviraj Dirgananda Raj Vaidya and Chandra Badan Vaidya with their daughter, first son and a family attendant. c. 1916. Photographer unknown. From the collection of T. N. Vaidya.

One consequence of child marriage used to be the possibility of the wife becoming taller than her husband as they both grew up. Such a possibility is evidenced in a photograph dated about 1916 (see photo no.

2). This shows a Newar couple in their twenties from Kathmandu's inner city with their two children and an attendant. The man shown therein, Kaviraj Dirgananda Raj Vaidya, and his wife, Chandra Badan Vaidya (my mother's paternal grandparents) had married in their early adolescence. In the photograph, he is seen to be a slightly shorter than his wife. We can only guess what social commentaries this disparity in their heights might have invited from members of Kathmandu's society where the man in any couple was expected to be taller than his spouse. This photograph is also noteworthy for other reasons. The man is located to the right of the woman, as in the two photographs discussed above. This tradition, still largely in vogue today, seems to have already set in by the time these photographs were taken and could have possibly been imported as a sensibility of 'couple portraiture' from India and beyond. In this photograph the attendant is located in the same row (but seated) as the family members whereas similar people in Rana group portraits are usually seen standing at the back of the group. Also interesting is the sari clad by Chandra Badan. Its length obviously exceeds that of those worn by Rana and Brahmin/Chetri women as seen in other contemporary photographs.

The length of the saris worn by Newar women is even more evident in another photograph taken in the late 1930s (see photo no. 3).⁵ The saris of these two middle-age Newar women, Bir Kumari Pradhan (my father's maternal grandmother) and her first cousin, Gauri Laxmi Shrestha, easily exceeded 50 feet each. Its weight was not insignificant either. According to old Newar women, it was apparently routine to cut these long saris into two pieces for purposes of washing and stitch them together once they had dried in the sun. Given the gendered distribution of labour, it is difficult to imagine how Newar women of this era, clad in these heavy and long saris, carried out household chores. It might not be outrageous to speculate that because of the difficulty entailed in walking while wearing such heavy saris, notions of distance within Kathmandu were also differentially understood by men and women (this is not to deny the other reasons at work).

Dress and habits of the body are historically inscribed markers of class and photographs provide excellent evidence of this inscription. A juxtaposition of these photographs showing members of Kathmandu's middle-class with those of the elite Ranas brings out this point clearly.

I had mistakenly dated this photograph to c. 1915 in my earlier article (Onta 1994).



Photo no. 3: Bir Kumari Pradhan (standing) and Gauri Laxmi Shrestha. Late 1930s. Photographer unknown. From the collection of P. Onta.

However, these photographs are also evidence of how photography was no longer in the exclusive consumption domain of the Ranas, thus signalling the beginning of the failure of what has been described as their policy of selective exclusion with respect to foreign goods and technology. Through the consumption of photography upon its own demand, Kathmandu's incipient middle-class began to assert its own social position during the high days of Rana rule. Photographic evidence of this assertion exists in a scattered manner at the moment and very few of these photographs have been published thus far. In addition, the first reference to photography (that I am aware of) in a literary work in the Nepali language comes from around this time. In a short play entitled *Biṣṇumāyā Nāṭikā* set in Kathmandu which was written some time between 1917 and 1923, writer Pahalmansimh Swar (2033 v.s.:56) deploys a photograph in a plot

wherein a husband discovers a (fake) letter written by his wife to her putative lover with the latter's photograph. It is significant that the first deployment of photography in Nepali literature does not happen within a plot involving the Ranas.⁶

Starting sometime in the early 1920s, a few of Kathmandu's middle class men started capturing a variety of moments, views and people (and that too in different parts of the country) with their newly acquired portable cameras. Photos from the 1920s that are testimony to this fact have been published, for instance, in Heide (1997) and Bajracharya (1998:4). A 1927 photo taken by Dirga Man Chitrakar showing a wedding (published in Heide 1997:81) is proof that Kathmandu's middleclass had begun to make photography a part of the marriage ritual within years of its gaining access to the medium. During this decade, people who owned photostudios in Kathmandu and certain Rana personalities such as Samar Shamsher and his son, Balkrishna Sama are also known to have owned and operated such portable cameras (see Sama 2029 v.s..; cf Onta 1997a). However, the use of portable cameras by common middle-class men (yes, they were almost always men) increased considerably in the 1930s and the 1940s. The following section will explore some of the images captured by them and suggest that photography came to increasingly occupy an important place in the manufacturing of the middle class's sensibility of itself and others.

Asserting Middle-Class Sensibility, 1940-60

Most of the photographs that I have seen from the two decades between 1940 and 1960 remain unpublished and in the private collection of my relatives. While my comments below are informed by my viewing of these photographs, they are particularly based on a close reading of an immaculate photo album that belonged to my maternal grandfather, Kaviraj Chandra Nanda Raj Vaidya (d. 1981 at age 57; see photo no. 4), a Newar man from Kathmandu's inner-city. Each one of over 770 photographs in the album has a caption and most have a date. Except for a few photographs, all were taken during the decade of the 1940s and the 1950s. About eighty percent of the photographs were taken by the albumowner himself whose use of a particular camera for that purpose is still remembered fondly by my mother.

For details on Swar's life and work, see Pahalmansimh Swar Satbarsik Samiti (2039 v.s.).



Photo no. 4: Kaviraj Chandra Nanda Raj Vaidya. 2016 v.s.. Photographer unknown. From the C.N.R. Vaidya album.

So what are the subjects inscribed in these photographs? Many photographs in the album taken during the 1940s show members of the family, especially children in various mundane poses. Family gatherings, pujas, and various bhoj have also been recorded. Some photographs show life-cycle events such as bratabandha in progress. If one takes lessons from the history of the use of photography in the Euro-American world, this obsession of recording family events does not come as a surprise. There, as Susan Sontag writes "memorializing the achievements of individuals considered as members of families" (1977:8) was one of the earliest popular uses of photography. As soon as photography became comfortably portable and could therefore be taken out of the professional studio, the middle-class Kathmandu family used it to construct an imagechronicle of itself, one what would record its connectedness not only for oneself but also for other members of the society. As Sontag adds, "It hardly matters what activities are photographed so long as photographs get taken and are cherished" (1977:8).

Another theme seen in the photographs from this decade is the study of the city. These include photographs of monuments of power such as the Dharahara, the Ghantaghar, statues of Rana premiers, and the facade of New Road after it had been restored following the 1934 earthquake.⁷ They

The ravages of this earthquake were photographically recorded by Balkrishna Sama, among others. Sama's photos are included in B. Rana 1992 v.s..

also include photographs of religious sites such as Pashupatinath and Swayambhu. Also included are photographs of city streets as some jātrā or a sawārī of an important Rana personality wound its way through them. The middle-class recording of the public space through photography did not stop at the city-limits. The inner-city Newars' discovery of the rural countryside in or near Kathmandu is equally apparent in these photographs. Many photographs show family members visiting places like Balaju, Dakshinkali, Dhulikhel, Sundarijal, Dhunbeshi, Kulekhani, and Tokha. Since C.N.R. Vaidya spent a few months in Tokha sanatorium (while undergoing treatment for T. B.) in the mid-1940s, quite a few photographs of the rural landscape around Tokha can be found in the album. A shot captures a cockfight in progress. Two other photographs show different groups of menial workers of the sanatorium in their uniforms, posing for a salute (see photo no. 5). Yet two other photographs capture a young woman each, obviously posed as requested by the photographer.



Photo no. 5: A group of workers at the Tokha sanatorium. 2004 v.s.. Photographer: Chandra Nanda Raj Vaidya. From the C.N.R. Vaidya album.

The rural landscape and the people therein have been photographed in part in the spirit of discovery associated with personal travel in space. However, another aspect of this encounter is probably more worthy of attention. The photographs of the rural women and those of the menial workers testify to this middle-class man's ability to direct these people, members of a lower class to be sure, for a posed session of photography.

This encounter whereby a city-man asserts his power to photographically inscribe members of a lower class is important in the manufacturing of the middle-class's sensibility of its "middle-ness." As if to provide more evidence of this, we find photographs of beggars (with one captioned "an old beggar") taken inside the city *after* Vaidya had returned home from Tokha. Caught between the elite Ranas and the majority of the masses, the middle-class discovered the latter as a curious subject of photography.



Photo no. 6: Vaidya family members and friends sitting on a bulldozer in Dhunbesi, Kathmandu 2010 v.s.. Photographer: Chandra Nanda Raj Vaidya. From the C.N.R. Vaidya album.

The photographs from the 1950s show a slightly different orientation. More family and architectural photographs were definitely taken. But the emphasis, both representing and reinforcing the new found freedom in the immediately post-Rana Nepal, shifts toward a search for images of "modernity." Hence we come across photographs of family members and friends sitting on a bulldozer during an outing to the country-side around 1953 (see photo no. 6). In another photograph, the jeep the family had rented for its trip is positioned next to the dozer, apparently at work in building a new road. Another photograph of a friend of the album-owner taken in London in the late 1950s showing the former in front of a television set further emphasizes my point: machines were the measure par excellence of this middle-class's early brush with modernity.

Barred from participating openly in public institutions and functions during the Rana days, the middle class photographically recorded its participation in them in the 1950s with a vengeance. School functions,



Photo no. 7: National elections in Itumbahal, Kathmandu. 1959. Photographer: Chandra Nanda Raj Vaidya. From the C.N.R. Vaidya album.

office space, public ceremonies, the 1959 elections (see photo no. 7), visits by foreign dignitories and national celebrations such as King Mahendra's coronation, and annual democracy day celebrations (see photo no. 8) are photographed quite extensively. High school students are seen picnicking and performing stage dramas during the second half of the 1950s (see photo nos. 9 & 10). We could argue that the sensibility of photographically recording every private and public ceremonial in which some family member or friend was involved was itself a characteristic of the modernity which was inculcated during these decades.⁸ It is my argument that photography gave the urban middle-class a new way to come to terms with itself, and its social power in the Nepali society. Kathmandu's middle-class learnt a new code of seeing which while ostensibly directed toward the outside — the city streets, the rural landscape, the lower class, school, office and public occasions — was as much directed inward. While making others the subjects of photography, the middle-class was increasingly asserting its own image of itself in the Nepali society at large.

^{8.} Today this sensibility has reached a stage where the still-record offered by photography is found to be inadequate. Instead a record in motion in the form of video footage is preferred.

Photographic Consumption in Kathmandu 207



Photo no. 8: Democracy day celebrations in Tundikhel, Kathmandu. 1960. Photographer: Chandra Nanda Raj Vaidya. From the C.N.R. Vaidya album.



Photo no. 9: Mainya Baba Vaidya (sitting, extreme right) and friends picnicking in Saraswatisthan, Kathmandu. 2012 v.s. Photographer: Chandra Nanda Raj Vaidya. From the C.N.R. Vaidya Album.



Photo no. 10: Mainya Baba Vaidya in the role of "Maya" in the play Apriya Ghatanā. 2015 v.s.. Photographer: Unknown. From the C.N.R. Vaidya album.

With the passage of time, all historical sources are increasingly divorced from the context in which they were originally created (cf. Newhall 1988). Therefore they become open to multiple readings. In interpreting the photographs in the above manner, I have perhaps taken advantage of this openness. The plausibility of the readings offered here based on the viewing of an admittedly small number of photographs from this period can only be gauged after more detailed studies of photographic and other sources of this era are done. Nevertheless it must be accepted that the photographs that form the basis of this analysis help us to write, however partially, a history of, among other things, class & consumption, social relationships and the constitution of urban public spaces. I have here suggested some ways of "reading" these common photographs as part of the project of reconstructing a social world that has changed significantly. A more complete analysis would include an account (based also on non-photographic sources) of how technologies like photography were differentially available to and consumed by the members of what was essentially a heterogeneous middle-class (in terms of intra-class wealth variation, caste, gender, educational achievements) in the first half of the century. It hardly needs to be added that social historians will benefit from a more systematic study of this corpus of largely unpublished photographs.

Conclusion: An Agenda for the Future

In this essay, I have discussed the history of photography in Kathmandu and indicated the ways in which photography was used here in its early years by the Ranas as part of their strategy of selective exclusion. I have also discussed how photography came to occupy an increasingly important place in the lives of middle-class inhabitants of Kathmandu over the 20th century and hinted at ways in which historians interested in reconstructing the social worlds of this class might benefit from a close reading of the existing photographic archives.

Looking ahead, we can say that monograph-length treatment of the history of photography in Nepal is a must. Therein one could include a more indepth study — one that also looks at the technical and economic aspects of the trade — of the now almost century-long history of Nepali photostudios (cf. Chitrakar 2043 v.s.:1). Photographs could also be extensively studied by historians for a more complete reconstruction of class, caste and gender relationships of an earlier era of our society, both inside and outside of Kathmandu. An anthropological study of these years that focuses on the consumption patterns of photography by different classes of Nepalis might illuminate the trends and dynamics of change in Nepali society in ways that have not been discussed before. Those interested in the use of photography in the post-1960 years might want to look at how the medium was used by politicians of the Panchayat system and their opponents in their respective print media forums. Through a sustained study of the corpus of published and unpublished photographs, one could also do an analysis of the linked topics of the culture of national politics (FOPHUR 1990; Chitrakar 1992) and the politics of culture in Nepal. For instance, for the period after 1990, it might be interesting to figure out how photography has been implicated in the politics of nationalism and ethnicity as they have been played out in the public media.9

Finally, I want to emphasize that this essay constitutes only the most preliminary suggestive reading of how photographs have been consumed in Kathmandu. As stated earlier, it is based on a viewing of a relatively

^{9.} As far as I know, no systematic photoarchive exists in any of the government-owned archives and libraries in Nepal. Private collections of photographs remain very scattered and uncatalogued. Therefore archivists and social historians must also work toward the establishment of a proper photoarchive if they would like to preserve historical photographs for future viewing and analysis.

small number of photographs taken before 1960. Much of what I have said in this essay — the proposed periodizations, thematic explorations and the general framework — must be criticized, augmented and eventually supplanted by other analyses if we want to see a healthy growth of a broad-based social history of Nepali society. The alternative would be to prolong our intellectual incarceration inside the narrow walls of the political history paradigm which has had until now a near monopolistic reign in the domain of history-writing of and in Nepal.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Anne de Sales, Mary Des Chene, Marie Lecomte, Mark Liechty, Lazima Onta-Bhatta and Rama Parajuli for comments on previous versions of this paper. I would like to thank Bikas Rauniar, Usha Tiwari, Kumar Rana and T.N. Vaidya for variously helping me to get physical and interpretive access to historical photographs. I am most grateful to my mother Mainya Baba (Vaidya) Onta for sharing her early memories of photo consumption, and to my three maternal uncles, the C. N. R. Vaidyas, for giving me full access to the photo albums prepared by their father, the late Chandra Nanda Raj Vaidya. The responsibility for deficiencies in the intrepretation offered here rests with me. Another version of this article will be published in the *European Bulletin of Himalayan Studies*.

References

Acharya Dixit, Kashinath. 2031 v.s.. *Bhaekā Kurā*. Kathmandu: Narendramani Acharya Dixit.

Acharya Dixit, Kedarmani. 2034 v.s.. Āphnai Kurā. Lalitpur: Author.

Acharya Dixit, Rammani. 2029 v.s.. *Purānā-Samjhanā*. Kathmandu: Nalini Devi Acharya Dixit.

Adhikari, Harigopal. 2048 v.s. Pahilo Nepali Photographer ra Photography. Sādhanā 5(1): 19-24.

Adhikari, Krishna K. 1984. Nepal under Jang Bahadur, 1846-1877. vol. I. Kathmandu: 'Buku'.

Bajracharya, Nhuchhe Bahadur. 1998. *The Story of My Life*. Kathmandu: Maitri Shishu Vidyalaya.

Ballantine, Henry. 1896. On India's Frontier or Nepal, the Gurkhas' Mysterious Land. Reprinted in 1985. Delhi: Daya Publishing House.

Bendall, Cecil. 1886. A Journey of Literary and Archaeological Research in Nepal and Northern India. Reprinted in 1991. New Delhi: Asian Educational Services.

Chitrakar, Bakhat. 2043 v.s. Photogrāphī Parichaya. Kathmandu: Author.

Chitrakar, Gopal. 1992. People Power. Kathmandu: Sita Chitrakar.

- Forum for Protection of Human Rights (FOPHUR). 1990. *Dawn of Democracy: People's Power in Nepal.* Kathmandu: FOPHUR.
- Heide, Susanne von der, compl. 1997. *Changing Faces of Nepal*. Kathmandu: Ratna Pustak.
- Janamanch. 2054 v.s. Ekaichin with Bikas Rauniar. 2 Sāun, p. 6
- Kharel, Shanker. 1994. Photo studiyoharu nai grāhakko abhābmā tasbir bhayekā chan. *Kāntipur*, 4 August.
- Lawoti, Sagun. 1998. Art Photography is Difficult (inteview with Capt. Kul Bahadur Limbu). *The Independent*, 5 August, p. 3.
- Liechty, Mark. 1997. Selective Exclusion: Foreigners, Foreign Goods and Foreignness in Modern Nepali History. *Studies in Nepali History and Society* 2(1): 5-68.
- Losty, J. P. 1992. Clarence C Taylor (1830-79): The First Photographer in Udaipur and Nepal. *History of Photography* 16(4): 318-335.
- Newhall, Beaumont. 1988. *The History of Photography*. New York: The Museum of Modern Art.
- Niven, B. M. 1987. The Mountain Kingdom: Portraits of Nepal and the Gurkhas. Singapore: Imago Productions.
- Onta, Pratyoush. 1994. History of Photography in Nepal. *The Kathmandu Post* (Sunday Supplement). 28 August, 4 September, 11 September, 18 September, 25 September, 2 October.
- Onta, Pratyoush. 1997a. Activities in a 'Fossil State': Balkrishna Sama and the Improvisation of Nepali Identity. *Studies in Nepali History and Society* 2(1): 69-102.
- Onta, Pratyoush. 1997b. Photojournalism: Nepali Challenges. *The Kathmandu Post*, 27 June, p. 4.
- Pahalmansimh Swar Satbarsik Samiti. 2039 v.s. *Pahalmānsimh Swār Smriti-grantha*. Kathmandu: Sajha Prakashan.
- Poudel, Kisan. 1998. Photo kalarlyāb dubne avasthāmā. *Himālaya Ṭāmes*, 6 July, p. 6.
- Proksch, Andreas, ed. 1995. *Images of a Century: The Changing Townscapes of the Kathmandu Velley*. Kathmandu: GTZ.
- Raj, Prakash A. 1994. *Portraits and Photographs from Nepal*. Kathmandu: Nabeen Publications.
- Rana, Bramha SJB. 1992 v.s. *Nepālko Mahābhūkampa*. 2nd ed. Kathmandu: Author.
- Rana, Pudma JB. 1909. *Life of Maharaja Sir Jung Bahadur of Nepal*. Reprinted in 1980. Kathmandu: Ratna Pustak.
- Rana, Purushottam SJB. 1990. Shree Teen Haruko Tathya Britānta. 2 volumes. Kathmandu: Parambir SJB Rana.
- Rana, Purushottam SJB. 1998. Jang Bahadur Rana: The Story of His Rise and Glory. Delhi: Book Faith India.

212 Pratyoush Onta

- Sama, Balkrishna. 2029 v.s. *Mero Kavitāko Ārādhan*. vol 2. Kathmandu: Sajha Prakashan.
- Sever, Adrian. 1993. Nepal under the Ranas. Delhi: Oxford & IBH Publishing.
- Shah, Biresh. 1996. Imaging Kathmandu. *The Kathmandu Post Review of Books* 1(4), 28 July.
- Sharma, Rajendra. 2054 v.s. Citrakārharuko Kyāmarāko Nepāl. *Gorkhāpatra* (Saturday Supplement), 22 Cait.
- Shrestha, Padma P. 1986. *Nepal Rediscovered: The Rana Court 1846-1951*. London: Serindia Publications.
- Sontag, Susan. 1977. On Photography. Reprinted in 1989. New York: Anchor Book.
- Swar, Pahalmansingh. 2033 v.s. $Biṣṇum\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ $N\bar{a}tik\bar{a}$. Kathmandu: Sajha Prakashan.
- The Kathmandu Post. 1997. Time to take photojournalism seriously. 26 June, p. 2.
- Whelpton, John. 1983. Jang Bahadur in Europe. Kathmandu: Sahayogi Press.